

Published on Web 10/20/2009

Stereospecific Synthesis of Conformationally Constrained γ -Amino Acids: New Foldamer Building Blocks That Support Helical Secondary Structure

Li Guo, Yonggui Chi, Aaron M. Almeida, Ilia A. Guzei, Brian K. Parker, and Samuel H. Gellman*

Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706

Received September 2, 2009; E-mail: gellman@chem.wisc.edu

Oligomers constructed from β -amino acid residues (" β -peptides") or from combinations of α - and β -amino acid residues (" α/β peptides") can adopt protein-like folding patterns.^{1,2} These conformational properties provide a basis for the ongoing development of β - and α/β -peptides that display interesting functional properties. β -Amino acid residues can be endowed with higher intrinsic folding propensities than those of α residues by use of cyclic constraints to limit backbone torsional mobility, and this capacity for residuebased rigidification has proven to be important for both the structure and function of β - and α/β -peptide foldamers.³⁻⁶ Analogous benefits should result from the use of constrained γ -amino acid residues in foldamers, but it is difficult to explore this hypothesis because only a few types of ring-containing γ -amino acids are known.^{7,8} The few cyclic γ residues examined to date have been found to promote sheet secondary structure,^{8b,c} which contrasts with the helix-favoring effects of the most common cyclic β residues.^{1,2,5,6}

Here we report a new synthetic approach that provides γ -amino acids containing a cyclohexyl constraint on the C_{β} – C_{γ} bond and a variable side chain at C_{α} . All three stereocenters of the γ -amino acid skeleton are generated from achiral precursors in a single process with high diastereo- and enantioselectivity. We show that the new type of γ -amino acid residue supports helix formation by an α/γ -peptide backbone.



Figure 1

Figure 1 shows our synthetic approach, the key step of which is the pyrrolidine-catalyzed Michael addition of an aldehyde to 1-nitrocyclohexene. Chiral pyrrolidines have been shown to catalyze the Michael addition of aldehydes to nitroalkenes with high stereoselectivity.^{9,10} Most precedents involve β -aryl nitroalkenes, such as β -nitrostyrene, which lead to $\gamma^{2,3}$ -amino acids.^{10a-d} We have reported that Michael addition of aldehydes to nitroethylene provides access to γ^2 -amino acids.^{10c} Use of pyrrolidine (*S*)-**A** along with acidic cocatalyst **B** proved to be optimal in terms of efficiency and enantioselectivity. Wennemers and co-workers^{10f} concurrently devised an effective tripeptide catalyst for nitroethylene additions. In complementary work, List and co-workers^{10g} and Hayashi et al.^{10h} found that (*S*)-**A** catalyzes highly enantioselective Michael additions of acetaldehyde to β -substituted nitroalkenes, providing γ^3 -amino acids.



Our attention was drawn to 1-nitrocyclohexene as a Michael acceptor because the adducts could be easily converted to novel

cyclically constrained γ -amino acid residues. Reaction of *n*-butanal and 1-nitrocyclohexene (2:1 molar ratio) in the presence of 20 mol % A in toluene provided the Michael adduct in only 25% yield after 24 h, and the two major diastereomers (2a and 3a) were produced in a ratio of \sim 1:1 (Table 1). When 10 mol % B was employed as a cocatalyst, the Michael adduct yield rose to 44%, and 2a was favored (6:1 dr); however, the major product was 4 resulting from aldol condensation. The Michael adduct yield was improved to 80% (7:1 dr) by using 5 equiv of n-butanal. Under these conditions, replacing B with either benzoic acid or acetic acid caused a modest decline in diastereoselectivity, and replacing **B** with trifluoroacetic acid completely inhibited the reaction. We speculate that a key role of the acidic component is to facilitate catalyst turnover, perhaps by promoting hydrolysis of an imminium intermediate. The selectivity for 2a relative to the trans diastereomer 3a may result from preferential equatorial protonation of the 2-substituted cyclohexane nitronate intermediate.¹¹

Table 1. Cocatalyst Effects^a

O ₂ N	P + H t 1a 20 mol% (<i>R</i>)-A toluene,24 h room temp → C + C + C + C + C + C + C + C +			
entry	cocatalyst (mol %)	yield ^b (%)	dr (2a/3a) ^{b,c}	M/4 ^d
1	none	25	1:1	1:1
2	$m - NO_2C_6H_4CO_2H$ (10)	44	6:1	1:2.2
3^e	$m - NO_2C_6H_4CO_2H$ (10)	80	7:1	1:3.2
4^e	HOAc (100)	80	5:1	1:5.0
5^e	TFA (10)	0	n.d.	n.d.
6^e	benzoic acid (10)	82	5:1	1:1.8

^{*a*} Reactions were performed with 1.0 M 1-nitrocyclohexene using 2 equiv of aldehyde. ^{*b*} Determined from ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture after 24 h. ^{*c*} See the Supporting Information for details. ^{*d*} M stands for all of the Michael adduct diastereomers observed by NMR spectroscopy. ^{*e*} Using 5 equiv of *n*-butanal.

Solvent choice proved to have a substantial impact on the Michael adduct yield and diastereoselectivity (Table 2). Both parameters were optimal when the reaction was conducted in CH_2Cl_2 and catalyzed by 20 mol % **A** and 10 mol % **B**, starting with 0.5 M 1-nitrocyclohexene. These conditions led to high selectivity for the *cis* adduct **2a** (17:1 dr relative to **3a**).

Further exploration revealed that Michael additions to 1-nitrocyclohexene catalyzed by **A** are highly enantioselective and that many aldehydes are compatible with the catalytic process (Table 3). For these studies, *ee* was determined by HPLC after γ -nitro aldehydes had been reduced to the corresponding nitro alcohols to avoid epimerization at the α -carbon. The absolute configuration of the major diastereomer generated with *n*-butanal and **A** was determined via derivatization (Scheme 1). Nitro alcohol **5** was oxidized to the corresponding nitro acid **6**, which was then coupled to L-phenylalanine methyl ester. The nitro group in the product was hydrogenated, and the resulting amino group was protected with a Boc group. A crystal structure of this α/γ -dipeptide revealed the *S*,*S*,*S* configuration for the γ -amino acid residue. The absolute configurations of other Michael adducts (Table 3) were assigned by analogy. γ -Nitro acid **6** could be easily converted to the Boc-protected γ -amino acid **7**. In terms of Michael addition scope (Table 3), it is noteworthy that aldehydes bearing a branch point adjacent to the nucleophilic carbon (such as **1d** and **1g**) are tolerated, although these examples required >2 days to produce good yields, perhaps because steric effects diminished the reactivity. The success of the aldehyde with a protected lysine-like side chain (**1i**) will facilitate the synthesis of oligomers that can be subjected to conformational analysis in aqueous solution.

Table 2. Solvent Effects^a

	$h^+ \prod_{Et}^{O} H \frac{20 r}{roor}$	nol% (<i>R</i>)-A,10 mol% B	EtCHO O	
entry	solvent	concentration of 1-nitrocyclohexene (M)	yield (%) ^b	dr (2a/3a) ^b
1	toluene	1.0	80	7:1
2	hexane	1.0	80	5:1
3	DMSO	1.0	26	1:1
4	DMF	1.0	80	6:1
5	<i>i</i> -PrOH	1.0	55	4:1
6	CHCl ₃	1.0	85	10:1
7	CH_2Cl_2	1.0	86	10:1
8	CHCl ₃	0.5	81	15:1
9	CH_2Cl_2	0.5	82	17:1

^{*a*} Reactions were performed using 5 equiv of aldehyde (see the Supporting Information for details). ^{*b*} Determined from ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture after 24 h.

Table 3. Aldehyde Variation

$ \overset{O_2N}{\longleftarrow} + \underset{R}{\overset{O}{\longleftarrow}} H \xrightarrow{20 \text{ mol}\% (R)-A,10 \text{ mol}\% B}_{CH_2CI_2, \text{ room temp}} \overset{O_2N}{\overset{O_2N}{\longleftarrow}} + \underset{2\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{i}}{\overset{R}{\longleftarrow}} \overset{CHO}{\overset{O_2N}{\longleftarrow}} \overset{R}{\overset{CHO}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{CHO}{\longrightarrow}}} \overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{CHO}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{CHO}{\longrightarrow}}} \overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{CHO}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{CHO}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{CHO}{\longrightarrow}}} \overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{R}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{R}{\longrightarrow}}} \overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{R}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{R}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{R}{\longrightarrow}}} \overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{R}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{R}{\longrightarrow}}} \overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset{R}{\overset$								
entry	product	R	time (h)	yield (%) ^a	dr ^b	ee (%) ^{c,d}		
1	2a	Et	38	87	17:1	99		
2	2b	Me	36	84	8:1	97		
3	2c	<i>n</i> -Pr	40	86	16:1	99		
4	2d	<i>i</i> -Pr	54	79	10:1	>99		
5	2e	<i>n</i> -Bu	40	85	16:1	99		
6	2f	<i>n</i> -Hex	42	81	15:1	>99		
7	2g	c-Hex	54	70	16:1	>99		
8	2h	CH ₂ CH ₂ Ph	40	75	9:1	98		
9	2i	$(CH_2)_4N(Boc)_2$	42	73	13:1	96		

^{*a*} Yield of isolated alcohol (major diastereomer) after reduction with NaBH₄. ^{*b*} Determined from ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. ^{*c*} Determined by chiral HPLC analysis of the alcohols derived from **2a**–**i**. ^{*d*} Absolute configurations of **2a** and **3a** were determined by X-ray structure analysis (see the Supporting Information for details).

Overall, the results in Table 3 show that we can gain rapid access to stereochemically pure γ -amino acid building blocks with a *cis*cyclohexyl constraint in the backbone and a variety of substituents adjacent to the carbonyl. The utility of the Michael addition-based approach is enhanced by the fact that the analogous *trans* diastereomers can be easily generated as well, as illustrated in Scheme 2. Thus, treating *cis*-nitro alcohol **5** with NaHCO₃ in ethanol at reflux quantitatively induces epimerization at the nitro-bearing carbon. Subsequent oxidation yields nitro acid **9**, which is identical to the nitro acid obtained by oxidation of **3a** (the minor product of the Michael addition, which was characterized crystallographically). Boc-protected γ -amino acid **10** can be readily prepared from **9**. Scheme 1



Scheme 2



The availability of cyclically constrained γ -amino acid building blocks in stereochemically pure form prompted us to begin to explore the conformational behavior of oligomers containing the corresponding subunits. Recent work suggests that oligomers constructed from α - and flexible γ -amino acid subunits can display a variety of discrete folding patterns.¹² We predict that α/γ -peptide foldamers will be conformationally stabilized by γ -residues with appropriate cyclic constraints.

Simulations from Hofmann and co-workers¹³ have identified a number of helical conformations that could be adopted by oligomers with a 1:1 alternation of α and γ residues. The helix containing 12-atom-ring C=O(*i*)····H-N(*i*+3) H bonds, which may be designated the α/γ -peptide "12-helix", is predicted to have the *g*,*g* local conformation about the C_{α}-C_{β} (ζ) and C_{β}-C_{γ} (θ) bonds. Fundamental principles lead one to expect that γ residues derived from **7** (Figure 2) will favor this local conformation. We hypothesized that the α/γ -peptide 12-helix secondary structure would be favored by combining (*R*,*R*,*R*)-**7** [generated using (*S*)-**A**] with D- α amino acid residues. This hypothesis was tested by preparation and analysis of tetramer **11** and hexamer **12**.



Figure 2. Intramolecular H-bonding patterns in the crystal structures of 11 and 12.

The crystal structures of both **11** and **12** reveal 12-helical conformations (Figure 3); in each case, the maximum number of $C=O(i)\cdots H-N(i+3)$ H bonds is formed. α/γ -Peptide **12** displayed sufficient proton resonance dispersion in CDCl₃ solution to enable nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy (NOESY) analysis. Among the unambiguous NOEs involving backbone protons, four strong NOEs were observed between protons from different γ residues: $C_{\gamma}H(2)\cdots NH(4)$, $C_{\gamma}H(2)\cdots C_{\alpha}H(4)$, $C_{\gamma}H(4)\cdots C_{\alpha}H(6)$, and $C_{\gamma}H(4)\cdots NH(6)$ (Figure 4). The $C_{\gamma}H(i)\cdots NH(i+2)$ distances in the crystal structure of **12** are 2.5 and 2.7 Å, and the $C_{\gamma}H(i)\cdots C_{\alpha}H(i+2)$ distances are 2.4 and 2.4 Å, which suggests

COMMUNICATIONS

that these two NOE patterns should be characteristic of the α/γ -peptide 12-helix in solution. Balaram and co-workers^{12b} have recently suggested that 1:1 α/γ -peptides derived from exclusively achiral amino acids can adopt the 12-helix in chloroform, but in these cases, only nearest-neighbor NH(*i*)····NH(*i*+1) NOEs were observed.



Figure 3. Crystal structures of (left) **11** and (right) **12**: (top) views perpendicular to the helical axis; (bottom) views along the helical axis.



Figure 4. Characteristic NOEs patterns observed for the 1:1 α/γ -peptide hexamer **12** in CDCl₃.

We have developed a short and general route to γ -amino acids that feature a cyclohexyl constraint on the $C_{\beta}-C_{\gamma}$ bond and a variety of side chains at C_{α} . The key step is Michael addition of an aldehyde to 1-nitrocyclohexene, a process that is catalyzed by pyrrolidine **A** and strongly favors just one of the eight possible stereoisomers. A second stereoisomer is available via epimerization at C_{γ} ; the absolute configuration is controlled by the enantiomer of catalyst **A** that is employed. α/γ -Peptides containing our constrained γ residues favor a specific helical conformation. We anticipate that incorporation of these new γ residues into other types of heterogeneous peptidic backbones will give rise to new families of foldamers and that synthetic approaches related to those described here will provide access to γ -amino acids with complementary constraints that further broaden the foldamer realm.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by NSF (CHE-0848847). NMR spectrometers were purchased with partial support from NIH and NSF. We thank Prof. W. Seth Horne and Dr. Soo Hyuk Choi for helpful discussions; Prof. Shannon Stahl and Richard McDonald for assistance with chiral HPLC; Galina Popova, Andrew Reidenbach, and Weicheng Zhang for help with preparation of materials; and Prof. A. J. Andre Cobb for sharing unpublished results.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, compound characterizations, and crystallographic data for **11** and **12** (CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (a) Gellman, S. H. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 173. (b) Foldamers: Structure, Properties and Applications; Hecht, S., Huc, I., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2007. (c) Goodman, C. M.; Choi, S.; Shandler, S.; DeGrado, W. F. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2007, 3, 252. (d) Seebach, D.; Beck, A. K.; Bierbaum, D. J. Chem. Biodiversity 2004, 1, 1111.
 (2) Horne, W. S.; Gellman, S. H. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1399.
- (a) Horne, W. S., Ochman, S. H. Org, Lett. 2000, 2, 2607. (b)
 (a) Huck, B. R.; Fisk, J. D.; Gellman, S. H. Org, Lett. 2000, 2, 2607. (b)
 De Pol, S.; Zorn, C.; Klein, C. D.; Zerbe, O.; Reiser, O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 511. (c) Baldauf, C.; Gunther, R.; Hofmann, H. J. Biopolymers 2006, 84, 408. (d) Sharma, G. V. M.; Nagendar, P.; Jayaprakash, P.; Krishna, P. R.; Ramakrishna, K. V. S.; Kunwar, A. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5878.
- (4) (a) Hayen, A.; Schmitt, M. A.; Ngassa, F. N.; Thomasson, K. A.; Gellman, S. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 505. (b) Schmitt, M. A.; Choi, S. H.; Guzei, I. A.; Gellman, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 13130.
 (c) Schmitt, M. A.; Choi, S. H.; Guzei, I. A.; Gellman, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4538. (d) Horne, W. S.; Price, J. L.; Keck, J. L.; Gellman, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 4178. (e) Choi, S. H.; Guzei, I. A.; Gellman, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 13780.
- (5) (a) Sadowsky, J. D.; Schmitt, M. A.; Lee, H.-S.; Umezawa, N.; Wang, S.; Tomita, Y.; Gellman, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 11966. (b) Sadowsky, J. D.; Fairlie, W. D.; Hadley, E. B.; Lee, H. S.; Umezawa, N.; Nikolovska-Coleska, Z.; Wang, S. M.; Huang, D. C. S.; Tomita, Y.; Gellman, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 139. (c) Horne, W. S.; Price, J. L.; Gellman, S. H. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2008, 105, 9151. (d) Horne, W. S.; Johnson, L. M.; Ketas, T. J.; Klasse, P. J.; Lu, M.; Moore, J. P.; Gellman, S. H. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2009, 106, 14751.
- (6) (a) Appella, D. H.; Barchi, J. J.; Durell, S. R.; Gellman, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 2309. (b) LePlae, P. R.; Fisk, J. D.; Porter, E. A.; Weisblum, B.; Gellman, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6820. (c) Lee, M.; Raguse, T. L.; Schinnerl, M.; Pomerantz, W. C.; Wang, X.; Wipf, P.; Gellman, S. H. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1801.
- H. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1801.
 H. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1801.
 (a) Hanessian, S.; Luo, X.; Schaum, R.; Michnick, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 8569. (b) Hintermann, T.; Gademann, K.; Jaun, B.; Seebach, D. Helv. Chim. Acta 1998, 81, 983. (c) Farrera-Sinfreu, J.; Zaccaro, L.; Vidal, D.; Salvatella, X.; Giralt, E.; Pons, M.; Albericio, F.; Royo, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 6048. (d) Vasudev, P. G.; Ananda, K.; Chatterjee, S.; Aravinda, S.; Shamala, N.; Balaram, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 4039.
- (8) (a) Hagihara, M.; Anthony, N. J.; Stout, T. J.; Clardy, J.; Schreiber, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, 114, 6568. (b) Woll, M. G.; Lai, J. R.; Guzei, I. A.; Taylor, S. J. C.; Smith, M. E. B.; Gellman, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, 123, 11077. (c) Qureshi, M. K. N.; Smith, M. Chem. Commun. **2006**, 5006.
- (9) For reviews, see: (a) Berner, O. M.; Tedeschi, L.; Enders, D. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 1877. (b) Santanu, M.; Yang, J. W.; Hoffmann, S.; List, B. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5471.
- (10) For selected examples of organocatalytic Michael additions of aldehydes to nitroalkenes, see: (a) Betancort, J. M.; Barbas, C. F., III. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3737. (b) Alexakis, A.; Andrey, O. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3611. (c) Wang, W.; Wang, J.; Li, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1369. (d) Hayashi, Y.; Gotoh, H.; Hayashi, T.; Shoji, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4212. (e) Chi, Y.; Guo, L.; Kopf, N.; Gellman, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 5608. (f) Wiesner, M.; Revell, J. D.; Tonazzi, S.; Wennemers, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 5610. (g) Garcia-Garcia, P.; Ladepeche, A.; Halder, R.; List, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4719. (h) Hayashi, Y.; Itoh, T.; Ohkubo, M.; Ishikawa, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4722.
- (11) (a) Bordwell, F. G.; Yee, K. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 5939. (b) Hayashi, T.; Senda, T.; Ogasawara, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 10716.
 (c) List, B.; Pojarliev, P.; Martin, H. J. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2423.
- (12) (a) Vasudev, P. G.; Chatterjee, S.; Shamala, N.; Balaram, P. Acc. Chem. Res. [Online early access]. DOI: 10.1021/ar9001153. Published Online: July 2, 2009. (b) Chatterjee, S.; Vasudev, P. G.; Raghothama, S.; Ramakrishnan, C.; Shamala, N.; Balaram, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 5956. (c) Chatterjee, S.; Vasudev, P. G.; Raghothama, S.; Shamala, N.; Balaram, P. Biopolymers 2008, 90, 759. (d) Vasudev, P. G.; Chatterjee, S.; Ananda, K.; Shamala, N.; Balaram, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6430. (e) Chatterjee, S.; Vasudev, P. G.; Ananda, K.; Raghothama, S.; Shamala, N.; Balaram, P. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 6595.
- (13) Baldauf, C.; Gunther, R.; Hofmann, H. J. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 2000.

JA907233Q